EO 12958 1.5(c)<10Yrs

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE: MAY 2001



Special Analysis

USSR:

Junta Faces Formidable Challenges

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs

The behavior of the coup leaders and the limited public support other members of the Soviet political elite have so far given them suggest they face significant obstacles to consolidating their power in the near term. Although the coup was preceded by much interaction among reactionary political, military, and security leaders and by some preparation of selected military units, there evidently was no broad orchestration of extremist forces.

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs

The coup leaders must now weaken and isolate prodemocracy forces, prevent mass popular resistance, gain the compliance of republic and local authorities, and maintain cohesion in the armed forces and security services. The needs to avoid disrupting the harvest, energy production, transport, and food imports limit the coup leaders' maneuvering room. A strategy of massive intimidation with bloodshed might stun the opposition, but it also could easily backfire, inciting resistance and leading to military mutiny. A strategy of tailored repression might avoid radicalizing the general population, but it would give the opposition time to organize.

Repression Limited So Far

Coup leaders appear to be pursuing a strategy of limited repression. They are seizing control of the media, moving to restore full control over the security forces, demanding the compliance of officials at all levels, and introducing a state of emergency in some regions, apparently in the Baltic republics, Moscow, and Leningrad so far. Coup leaders have not yet arrested republic leaders, but they are moving to shut down the Baltic governments altogether. Although they are banning strikes and political demonstrations, they are trying to convey a sensitivity to political legitimacy by pledging to submit the state of emergency decree to the USSR Supreme Soviet, which is to convene next Monday.

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs

(U)

Lasting Success Unlikely

The coup leaders are in a difficult position. They are trying to parlay the popular desire for security and economic improvement into the support and legitimacy they lack. By undertaking limited repression, they have given Yel'tsin time to brand their action unconstitutional, to call for civil disobedience and a general strike, to demand that

Top Secret

continued

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs



E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs (U) Gorbachev be allowed to address the Supreme Soviet, to appeal to servicemen to disobey orders to shoot demonstrators, and to threaten to prosecute those who comply with the coup leaders' commands. Yel'tsin also has issued a decree demanding that Interior Ministry, KGB, and Defense Ministry units on Russian territory obey him. Major strikes in key sectors of the economy such as mining, civil disobedience, and confrontations between the public and security forces are likely and will jeopardize the coup leaders' hopes of quelling resistance while restoring economic "order."

If the coup leaders sense that time is working against them or that they have gained control of the levers of power, they may turn very soon to more violent repression. Such a move is unlikely to prevent an escalation of resistance in the Russian Republic and—in time—in most other republics outside Central Asia. The most likely scenarios now are either a rapid collapse of the coup or an extended struggle of popular resistance against armed strength, with the outcome depending on the loyalty to the center of the armed forces. Under the latter scenario, the chance that divisions will open in the Soviet armed forces is quite high. Over the longer term, the coup leaders probably will not succeed in creating a durable authoritarian regime able to stabilize the economy and introduce even modest economic reform. Meanwhile, the coup will accelerate the breakup of the union.

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs





62

Special Analysis

USSR:

Fallout From the Failed Coup

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs (U) The coup's failure will accelerate the very developments in Soviet society that the plotters sought to reverse. It will shift power to democrats from traditionalists and to republics from the center. In the near term, it probably will exacerbate the USSR's profound economic and social problems, but it may lead to peaceful, democratic progress toward their resolution in the longer run.

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs

The coup attempt and the way it was defeated will significantly reduce Gorbachev's power, which has depended greatly on the bureaucratic clout of the central institutions and on the perception that he alone has been able to protect democrats and traditionalists from each other. The center will now be limited primarily to security and foreign policy, and even there it will have to share authority with the republics. The coup's failure has thoroughly compromised the extreme traditionalists; has sharply diminished the political influence of the Defense Ministry, the KGB, the central economic bureaucracy, and the Communist Party apparatus; and has undermined the "broker" role Gorbachev had played. Questions about his own equivocal attitude toward the reactionaries over the past year and popular awareness that he himself appointed the key plotters to office are likely to further reduce Gorbachev's public esteem. Yel'tsin's role in defeating the coup and his enhanced political authority guarantee that whatever new accommodation he reaches with Gorbachev will be largely on Yel'tsin's terms.

Domestic Impact

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs (U) The defeat of the coup will not eliminate traditionalist foot-dragging and resistance to reform, but it will eliminate hope of political revenge. The immediate question is the severity of measures to be taken against leaders who sided with the junta. The institutional missions and prerogatives of the military, the KGB, and the Interior Ministry are likely to be further constrained. At the national level, the coup failure probably will accelerate the adoption of a new constitution and the subsequent elections for new representative bodies and the Presidency. In Russia, it should significantly strengthen Yel'tsin's ability to get his way with the republic's legislature, to dismantle Communist Party control at the local level, to enforce compliance with Russian law, and to develop a market economy.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: MAY 2001

continued

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs



EO 12958 1.5(c)<10Yrs



E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs (U) Republics that wish to remain in the union will be better able to expand their autonomy, and republics that wish to leave will be further resolved to do so rapidly. Even if its language is not renegotiated, the draft union treaty's adoption, implementation, and further codification in the future USSR constitution will narrow the powers of the center and strengthen the republics. Russia's role in defeating the junta will weaken the center's ability to gain leverage by playing off the Central Asian and other republics against Russia. The center probably must now begin good-faith negotiations with the Baltic republics and may not be able to avoid granting them independence fairly soon.

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs

The demise of Prime Minister Pavlov's leadership leaves the center without an economic policy and in full disarray; such problems as ensuring the harvest and coping with fuel and energy shortages are rapidly closing in on Moscow. With the coup's failure, however, the flow of economic authority to the republics will accelerate, and control over some economic decision making may simply dissipate. The transfer of economic power to the republics will facilitate market reform in some areas, particularly Russia, and will produce steeper military spending cuts; but this process is likely to intensify the republics' tendency to act independently of each other and probably will hinder the elaboration of common monetary and fiscal policies. The economic crisis will deepen and will increase the Soviet need for Western aid, credits, and food imports.

Affect on Foreign Policy

With the defeat of the coup, Gorbachev will have more freedom to pursue progressive goals in foreign policy, but he will have to accommodate new pressures from republic leaders, who may push him even further on key issues. They will favor additional efforts to secure Western aid delivered directly to the republics, arms control agreements that encourage further defense cuts, and regional policies that enhance ties to the West and more quickly reduce the burden of Third World commitments. The republics may also push for greater participation in international forums, which the center may accept. In such areas as the withdrawal of troops from Poland and compensation from Germany, Moscow still faces social problems associated with the return of Soviet forces, but compromises may be easier now for Gorbachev.

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs

E0 12958 6.1(c)<10Yrs (U)





European Views of Gorbachev and Yel'tsin

West European politicians and media are hailing Gorbachev's return to office, and interest in his political future will remain intense. But European leaders view Yel'tsin's status as a more relevant gauge of the USSR's future. Europeans are likely to attribute the defeat of the plotters and the restoration of Gorbachev mainly to Yel'tsin's actions and thus will see him as the decisive force in the country. Europeans probably still fear that the USSR faces prolonged turmoil, and in the near term they will be inclined to watch how the Yel'tsin-Gorbachev relationship develops. They probably will see little alternative, however, to competing for Yel'tsin's favor and significantly increasing party and government ties to the republics.





235 24 CIA SPECIAL COLLECTIONS RELEASE AS SANITIZED 2000

Top Secret

5P 91-009CX 1215



Directorate of Intelligence

Office of Soviet Analysis

Spotlight on the Russian Revolution of 1991

August-September 1991



Top Secret

Spotlight on the Russian Revolution of 1991

August-September 1991

This publication was prepared in the Office of Soviet Analysis. Comments and queries are welcome and may be directed to

Top Secret SOV SP 91-009CX September 1991

Top Secret

Summary

The misbegotten August coup attempt led to the collapse of the centralized Soviet state, marking an end to the system that Stalin had constructed on the pylons of Marxism-Leninism. The republics bolted for independence, just as they had during the previous breakdown of central control in 1917-18, only this time there was no hard-eyed band of leaders in Moscow determined to put the structure back together with fire and steel. The prognosis is for a decentralized association of republics whose final reallocation of the responsibilities and powers of the old order will be contentious and take years to effect.

In the interim, a game and serious group of national and republic leaders led by Boris Yel'tsin has put together a governing structure that must step warily between national aspirations and the resolution of the same economic, technological, and infrastructure problems that contributed so greatly to the USSR's demise. Only it must do so in the midst of an ongoing political revolution. The long-term outlook may be positive, but the short term looks grim, with a poor harvest, energy shortages, and hyperinflation facing the coming winter.

The big losers have been the very institutions the plotters sought to protect—the security services, the Communist Party, and defense industry. Military spending and manpower are sure to decline—probably precipitously—while the party has fragmented and the once dreaded KGB is in the process of doing so. In the final analysis, the plotters had lost touch with the results of the Gorbachev years and failed to realize that the populace was no longer cowed and the republics no longer bound by the writ of Moscow. They were, finally, apparatchiks with the musty smell of the past and were no match for freely elected officials with the courage of their electorate behind them.

The sudden loss of one pole in a bipolar world made itself felt quickly. Gorbachev virtually announced an end to the Soviet-Cuban security relationship when he revealed plans to remove the Soviet brigade, and the US-Soviet agreement on Afghanistan promised to end a bloody cold war legacy. In both cases, a Soviet need to clear the decks of major irritants in order to enhance opportunities for foreign financial assistance was clearly instrumental.

SOV SP 91-009CX

The Political Situation

The colossal failure of the 19 August coup attempt brought to an end the Bolshevik experiment begun in 1917. The action of the coup leaders was born of desperation, as they realized that the imminent union treaty would put an end to the authoritarian, centralized state in which they had prospered and believed. Their action, however, demonstrated the extent of their alienation from the changes that had already occurred in the six historic years of Gorbachev's rule. Simply put, the populace was no longer cowed and the republics no longer felt the need to accept the diktat from Moscow. The expectation that, with only a few military units behind them, they could put the old order back together proved foolish in the extreme. The reaction of the Baltic republics was to declare immediate independence, and the writ of the coup leaders never really extended beyond the Kremlin. It was not only Boris Yel'tsin who held firm. Mayors Popov and Sobchak and the city councils of Moscow and the rechristened St. Petersburg, among others, were also designt and courageous. The plotters ran hard into a phenomenon never before encountered in their Marxist-Leninist experience—that of elected leaders with the courage of their electorate behind them. This time, unlike 1917, the ballot box prevailed over the bayonet.

The leadership politics following the coup attempt displayed a remarkable sophistication. Once again, Yel'tsin and Gorbachev proved themselves the country's most adroit politicians. The difference, however, was that Yel'tsin reacted instinctively and now had the full weight of the dominant Russian Republic behind him, while Gorbachev squandered three days before realizing that his position was based on a no longer existent center whose power had flowed to the republics upon the coup's failure. Yel'tsin set the pace for republic dominance early by taking over union assets in the aftermath of the coup. He was also the driving force behind the effort to fundamentally reconstitute the union. For his part, Gorbachev again did not understand the handwriting on the wall until it was unmistakably clear, and then formed a political marriage of convenience with his old nemesis Yel'tsin. Gorbachev did not lose his tactical adroitness as was most apparent in his handling of the Congress of People's Deputies, which he persuaded to approve a

new government structure and then vote itself out of existence. Yel'tsin and Gorbachev's actions, however, were assisted by responsible behavior by other officials. Ten republic leaders assisted in the movement to a new interim government structure. The formation of new government organs, including a State Council, a radically transformed legislature, and an Interrepublic Economic Committee, however transitional, was a remarkable achievement a mere three weeks following the coup.

The reaction of the republics was the same as it was when central authority colfapsed in 1917-18. Now, as then, they bolted, with virtually the same entities proclaiming independence in 1991 as did so in 1918. Outside of Russia, the greatest defiance was in the Baltic republics and Moldova, all of which refused to recognize the Emergency Committee. One of the first actions of the new State Council on 6 September was to recognize Baltic independence. Even as they negotiated some form of a new union, however, potential problems between the republics appeared on the horizon. The border issue flared briefly between Russia and neighboring Ukraine and Kazakhstan. Moldovan President Snegur opened the issue of Romanian territory incorporated into Ukraine in 1940 and sent Moldovan police to Kiev to arrest a leader of the Dnester Slavs. Armenians in Nagomo-Karabakh declared themselves independent, and the Estonian leadership rescinded a 1945 decree that ceded more than 1,800 square kilometers of territory to Russia. Moreover, virtually each republic was faced immediately with minority problems. Political turmoil also roiled the waters in various republics. Georgian President Gamsakhurdiya fought efforts to remove or temper his heavyhanded rule, the Uzbek authorities sought to suppress rallying democratic forces, and the Communist government of Azerbaijan found itself facing hundreds of thousands of anti-Communist nationalist demonstrators. Meanwhile, the political divide between the eastern and western Ukraine widened, the Lithuanian government imposed direct rule on districts dominated by ethnic Poles, and an incipient struggle for power and control seemed to be brewing between the Russian presidency and legislature.



The Economy and Defense

The economy, already in deep trouble, threatens to become a complete shambles because of the breakdown of the center and the major shifts of power caused by political events. Apart from political affairs, the news was bad across the board. The harvest promises to be down at least 50 million tons from last year, to the lowest level since 1984. Output of natural gas remained stagnant and oil production continued to plummet in the third quarter. Coal output was down 11 percent from the first eight months of last year, and as of midyear coal stockpiles were down 20 percent. Simply feeding and heating the populace through the winter would be problem enough, but it has been compounded by events. The financial system is collapsing. A number of republics have stopped paying into the union budget, leading to a combined union-republic budget deficit variously estimated by the Soviets at 10-25 percent of GNP and raising the threat of hyperinflation by yearend. Moreover, the sharp increase in republic sovereignty will make it increasingly difficult to maintain a unionwide market in the short term. Even prior to the coup attempt at least nine republics had imposed internal customs barriers, and interrepublic trade is now likely to fall off sharply. Several republics are already halting shipments of various goods to other republics. In the long term, the move away from the command economy will be beneficial. In the short term, things look grim. This is not to say that the center has been sitting on its hands. The State Council agreed to accept for further discussion radical economist Yavlinskiy's plan for a new economic union, suggesting that the participating republics are willing to accept some central economic structures.

All indications are that the Soviet military-industrial complex is one of the big losers in the new political order. Silayev told British Prime Minister Major that he favors a sharp reduction in defense spending beginning in 1992, and First Deputy Defense Minister Grachev, among others, has proposed reducing the armed forces from its current level of 3.5 million to 1.5-2 million men. Union and republic leaders across the board have been calling for military reductions. Given the fragmentation of the union, the collapse of the center, and the economic situation, the contraction and restructuring of defense production is probably

imminent. Much of defense industry will be taken over by the republics. Moreover, the almost certain cuts in force structure will most likely lead to further cuts in all major weapons categories.

Defense leaders moved quickly to try to control the process. By 11 September Defense Minister Shaposhnikov claimed agreement with republic defense leaders on the basic direction of military reform and central security. He claimed that central armed forces would be retained and that strategic forces would be under central control. Shaposhnikov also claimed that territorial militias would be acceptable but not republic armies. Nevertheless, major battles clearly lie ahead over divisions of responsibilities and budgets. The Ukrainian Supreme Soviet, for instance, has already passed legislation saying that movement of troops on Ukrainian territory must be subject to approval of the Ukrainian cabinet.

Foreign Policy

The Foreign Ministry appears to be suffering the same blows as the other national security institutions. Such familiar actors to the West as Bessmertnykh and Kvitsinskiy were removed in the coup's aftermath. A relatively unknown ambassador-Boris Pankin-was elevated to Foreign Minister because he refused to follow the instructions of the plotters. Organizational changes have been set in motion that will give the republics a much greater say in foreign affairs, with Russia having the greatest say of all. Moreover, the ministry is clearly facing drastic personnel cuts and will be in turmoil for the foreseeable future. The Soviet delegation to CFE talks in Vienna was reduced from 50 to 12 officers this month—ostensibly because of lack of money-and other Soviet missions will undoubtedly face similar cuts.

The extent to which the new turmoil in the Soviet Union will force compromises on long-held Soviet positions-even of the Gorbachev era-was demonstrated by Foreign Minister Pankin prior to the CSCE conference in Moscow. Following Russian Foreign Minister Kozyrev's call for challenge inspections of human rights violations, Pankin told reporters that traditional Soviet insistence on noninterference in a country's internal affairs must be reconsidered. At the conserence, Pankin used a somewhat more restricted formula limiting access for CSCE observers to regions in which a state of public emergency has been declared. Both Russia and the Foreign Ministry apparently are motivated by concern over how to defuse ethnic conflicts as the Soviet republics redefine their relationships, but the center is more protective of its options. In Western Europe, meanwhile, a prime topic of concern was the control and disposition of Soviet nuclear forces, with several leaders coming forward with plans to control the situation. Concern over Soviet debt default also grew, apparently with good

The extent to which the formerly bipolar political world has tilted on its axis because of the changes in Moscow was demonstrated by changes in two of the . long-running Third World areas of East-West confrontation. Gorbachev's announcement that the Soviet Union would begin negotiations with Cuba on the withdrawal of the Soviet troops indicates that the end of the Soviet security relationship with Cuba has begun, a move that, as much as the removal of the Berlin Wall, would indicate that the Cold War is deed. Even before the announcement, Soviet relations with Cuba were fraying as changes in policy and events in the USSR were moving conditions in Cuba toward the "zero option" scenario that will intensify that country's growing economic problems. The US-Soviet agreement to end military aid to Afghanistan by yearend promises to remove a less hoary but far bloodier cold war legacy. Cost considerations aside, in both cases the Soviets undoubtedly wanted to remove the last regional irritants from US-Soviet relations and improve prospects for US aid. Concern for aid from wealthy Middle Eastern states was also a likely factor in their decision on Afghanistan.

Recognizing the most serious flaw in Russia's Asia policy, Russia quickly turned its attention to Japan, addressing the Northern Territories issue. Russian Supreme Soviet Chairman Khasbulatov delivered a message from Yel'tsin to Prime Minister Kaifu pledging to speed resolution of the issue. Khasbulatov stressed that negotiations should result in a peace treaty and also asked Tokyo to grant Russia large-scale emergency economic aid.

Coming Events

19-28 September

Russian Supreme Soviet meets.

28 September

Russian Congress of People's Deputies opens.

10-13 October

World Bank/IMF annual meeting in Bangkok.

13 October

Direct presidential election in Kyrgyzstan.

19 October

Presidential election in Russian Kalmyk ASSR.

28 October

Direct presidential election in Tajikistan.

25 November

Tentative date for election of local administrative heads

in Russia.